

The Rape stimuli were developed specifically for those who have committed rape, typically rape of an adult female. The clinical applications for the stimuli in terms of rationale is that the Adult set does not have much in it for assessing adult rapists, just a few segments are applicable while the bulk of the set is targeted at various age and gender categories that are typically more applicable to child molesters.

The stimuli are quite explicit and represent a departure from our other stimuli that are projective and non pornographic. In targeting the population of rapists there were several reasons for this. First off, rapists are notorious for being non responders on testing. Second, the reasons and rationale for projective and non pornographic stimuli is primarily to deal with child pornography problems with PPG stimuli. When we are depicting adult child sexual scenarios, we have to be very conscious of the boundaries related to child pornography. Thus, the projective non pornographic approach works best within that realm. With rapists, however, there are no child scenarios and thus no issues related to child pornography, thereby allowing us to be more explicit in depicting the offense behaviors. In addition, we employed a female voice in all scenarios in the hope of raising the level of responsiveness as well. Specifically, the female voice cues are critical, as in the coercive or rape scenarios she is clearly not consenting or enjoying whereas in the consenting scenarios she is enjoying the sexual experience. Thus, use of the female voice is also useful for enhanced discrimination of responses. It is hoped that the number of non responders for this population can be lowered via use of explicitness as well as the female voice and its inherent cues.

The HSC is High Social Competence, roughly higher SES like white collar, and LSC is Low Social Competence and is lower SES and like blue collar.

From an interpretive standpoint, if a person demonstrated arousal to one coercive segment but not another, I would conclude they had arousal to a clearly coercive stimulus and even if it was not consistent with the type of rape committed. My bias is that PPG data is not necessarily of a one to one nature. That is, in our adult set, responses to child stimuli need not be to the only ones in the client's response history to be of concern in relation to treatment need. Responses to child stimuli would be indicative of possible sexual risk as well as potentially problematic in relation to sexual immaturity on the part of the client.

Stimuli set of 12 audio segments. The scripts for these histories are performed by professional actors including male and female voices.

Segments included:

- | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1) Neutral | 7) Non Sadistic |
| 2) Muted Sadism | 8) Vindictive |
| 3) Consenting Male Initiated | 9) Opportunistic Non Consensual |
| 4) Pervasively Angry | 10) Sadist Overt |
| 5) Superficial Consenting | 11) Opportunistic HSC |
| 6) Opportunistic LSC | 12) Consenting Fem Initiated. |